arXiv:1406.5293v1 [math.CV] 20 Jun 2014

ON THE GROWTH EXPONENT OF C-HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH ALGEBRAIC GRAPHS

MACIEJ P. DENKOWSKI

Abstract. This paper is the first of a series dealing with c-holomorphic functions defined on algebraic sets and having algebraic graphs. These functions may be seen as the complex counterpart of the recently introduced *regulous* functions. Herein we their growth exponent at infinity. A general result on injectivity on fibres of an analytic set together with a Theorem of Tworzewski and Winiarski gives a bound for the growth exponent of a c-holomorphic function with algebraic graph in terms of the projective degrees of the sets involved. We prove also that algebricity of the graph is equivalent to the function being the restriction of a rational function (a Serre-type theorem). Then we turn to considering proper c-holomorphic mappings with algebraic graphs and we prove a Bézout-type theorem.

1. Introduction

The main object we will be dealing with in this article are continuous functions defined on a given algebraic subset of a complex finite-dimensional vector space M and having algebraic graphs. To make the notation clearer, we will consider $M = \mathbb{C}^m$ (anyway, everything here is invariant under linear isomorphisms). Our general aim is to present several effective results concerning this class of functions and thus we study their growth exponent and — in a forthcoming paper — also their Łojasiewicz's exponents at infinity, the Nullstellensatz in this class. Actually, the class of functions we are interested in coincides with the class of c-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs. When dealing with such functions we are bound to use purely geometric methods.

It may be interesting to note that c-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs can be treated as a kind of complex counterpart of the recently introduced *regulous* functions [FHMM] as well as in [Kol], in connection with the important results of [K].

For the convenience of the reader we recall the definition of a c-holomorphic mapping. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be an analytic subset of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$.

Date: February 8th 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32B15, 32A17, 32A22.

Key words and phrases. Complex analytic and algebraic sets, c-holomorphic functions, Liouville type theorem, rational functions, regular functions.

Definition 1.1. (cf. [Ł], [Wh]) A mapping $f\colon A \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is called *c-holomorphic* if it is continuous and the restriction of f to the subset of regular points $\mathrm{Reg}A$ is holomorphic. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$ the ring of c-holomorphic mappings (with pointwise multiplication), and by $\mathcal{O}_c(A)$ the ring of c-holomorphic functions.

It is a way (due to R. Remmert) of generalizing the notion of holomorphic mapping onto sets having singularities and a more convenient one than the usual notion of *weakly holomorphic functions* (i.e. functions defined and holomorphic on $\mathrm{Reg}A$ and locally bounded on A). The following theorem is fundamental for all what we shall do (cf. [Wh] 4.5Q):

Theorem 1.2. *A mapping $f\colon A \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is c-holomorphic iff it is continuous and its graph $\Gamma_f := \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in A\}$ is an analytic subset of $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$.*

For a more detailed list of basic properties of c-holomorphic mappings see [Wh] and [D1].

Finally, we recall some notions we will be using. For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ let P^+ denote its homogeneous part of maximal degree, i.e. $\deg P^+ = \deg P$ and $\deg(P - P^+) < \deg P$. We denote by $\tilde{P}(t, z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le d} a_\alpha z^\alpha t^{d-|\alpha|}$ the homogenization of $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le d} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ where $d = \deg P$. Then, $\tilde{P}(0, z) = P^+(z)$.

Recall also (see [Ł] VII.§7 and [Ch]) that if $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic of pure dimension k, then its projective degree $\deg\Gamma = \#(L \cap \Gamma)$ for any $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ affine subspace of dimension $n-k$ transversal to Γ and such that $L_\infty \cap \overline{\Gamma} = \varnothing$, where $\overline{\Gamma} = \overline{\mathbb{P}(\{1\} \times \Gamma)}$, for $\mathbb{P}\colon \mathbb{C}_*^{1+n} \to \mathbb{P}_n$ the canonical projection, is the projective closure and L_∞ denotes the points of L at infinity (i.e. the intersection of $\overline{L}$ with the hyperplane at infinity in $\mathbb{P}_n$). The point is that the condition $L_\infty \cap \overline{\Gamma} = \varnothing$ (equivalently: $L_\infty \cap \Gamma_\infty = \varnothing$) is equivalent to the inclusion

$$\Gamma \subset \{u + v \in L' + L \mid |v| \le \mathrm{const.}(1 + |u|)\}$$

where L' is any k-dimensional affine subspace such that $L' + L = \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, for any $(n-k)$-dimensional affine subspace L cutting A in a zero-dimensional set (with no additional hypotheses) there is $\#(L \cap \Gamma) \le \deg\Gamma$. Writing $G'_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for the set of affine hyperplanes of dimension $n-k$, we have

$$\deg\Gamma = \max\{\#(L \cap \Gamma) \mid L \in G'_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)\colon \dim(L \cap \Gamma) = 0\}.$$

The projective degree of Γ is in fact equal to the local degree (Lelong number) at zero of the cone defined by Γ. Namely, if C_Γ denotes the closure in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ of the pointed cone $S_\Gamma := \{\mathbb{C}_* \cdot (1, x) \mid x \in \Gamma\}$, then $\deg\Gamma = \deg_0 C_\Gamma$. Of course, $C_\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma}) \cup \{0\}$.

Let $\Gamma^* := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid (0, x) \in C_\Gamma\}$ which corresponds to the points at infinity but seen in the affine space. In particular, $\{P^+ = 0\} = \{P = 0\}^*$. Moreover, $\Gamma^* = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \exists \Gamma \ni v_\nu \to \infty, \lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{C}\colon \lambda_\nu v_\nu \to v\}$ and this is a cone. Finally, observe that now $V_\infty \cap W_\infty = \varnothing$, where $V, W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are

algebraic, is equivalent to $V^* \cap W^* = \{0\}$. Note by the way that with this approach it is easy to check the following:

Proposition 1.3. *Let $F\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant polynomial. Then* $\deg F = \deg\Gamma_F$.

Proof. Observe that Γ_F is the zero set of the polynomial $G(x,t) = t - F(x)$ of degree $d := \deg F$ (we may assume that $d > 1$, otherwise there is nothing to prove). Clearly, $G^+ = -F^+$ and so $\Gamma_F^* = \{x\colon F^+(x) = 0\} \times \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, an affine line $L \in G_1'(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ realizing $\deg\Gamma_F$ can be chosen of the form $\ell \times \{t_0\}$ with $\ell \in G_1'(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that $\ell^* \cap \{F^+ = 0\} = \varnothing$. But then $L \cap \Gamma_F$ corresponds to the degree of $F|_\ell$ which is d. □

Remark 1.4. It is worth noting that such an equality is no longer true for polynomial mappings (where the degree is defined to be the greatest degree of the components, which is accounted for in the next section). Indeed, it suffices to consider a proper polynomial mapping $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\deg F_j > 1$ and $\bigcap\{F_j^+ = 0\} = \{0\}$. Then by the Bézout Theorem, for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ([1]) there is $\#F^{-1}(w) = \prod \deg F_j > \deg F$ and since $F^{-1}(w) = \Gamma_F \cap (\mathbb{C}^m \times \{w\}^m)$, it follows that $\deg\Gamma_F \geq \#F^{-1}(w)$. For instance, $m = 2$, $F(x,y) = (x^2, y^3)$ is a good example.

Nonetheless, if $F\colon \mathbb{C}^m_x \to \mathbb{C}^n_y$ is a proper polynomial mapping (hence $n \geq m$), then in view of the main result of [TW1] applied to the natural projection $\pi(x,y) = x$ restricted to Γ_F (it is obviously one-sheeted), we obtain

$$\Gamma_F \subset \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid |y| \leq C(1+|x|)^{\deg\Gamma_F}\}$$

with some $C > 0$ (here $|\cdot|$ denotes any norm). This means that $|F(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{\deg\Gamma_F}$ and so $\deg F \leq \deg\Gamma_F$ (cf. section 3).

2. Generic injectivity of c-holomorphic non-constant mappings on fibred analytic sets

We consider the following general situation. Let $A \subset D \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pure k-dimensional analytic set with proper projection $\pi(x,y) = x$ onto the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$. Let d denote the multiplicity of $\pi|_A$ as a branched covering and $\sigma_\pi \subsetneq D$ its critical (or discriminant) set.

We assume throughout this section that for projections π' close to π we still have $\pi'|_A$ a d-sheeted branched covering. Close, in this case, means close in the space of all epimorphisms (projections, as it were) $\mathbb{C}^{k+n} \to \mathbb{C}^k$. Equivalently, that means that $\mathrm{Ker}\pi$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\pi'$ are close in the n-th Grassmannian $G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, i.e. in the sense of the Kuratowski convergence (cf. [DP] where this is explained in details). As a matter of fact, we are particularly interested in the following two situations where these assumptions are satisfied:

[1] by 'generic' we always mean 'apart from a nowheredense algebraic set'.

(1) Suppose that $D = \mathbb{C}^k$ and A is algebraic. If π is a projection realizing the projective degree $\deg A$, then $A \subset \{(x,y) \mid |y| \leq \text{const.}(1+|x|)\}$. Thence it is easy to see that for n-dimensional linear subspaces $L \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$ close enough to $L_0 := \{0\}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ the projection π^L along L is still proper on A and has multiplicity $\deg A$ ([2]).

(2) Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is locally analytic, $0 \in A$ and d is the local degree (Lelong number) $\deg_0 A$. If $\pi|_A$ realizes $\deg_0 A$ (as its multiplicity), then for the tangent cone $C_0(A)$, keeping the notations introduced so far, we have $L_0 \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ and this property is open in the Grassmannian (cf. [Ch] or [Ł] ([3])). Since there is a bounded neighbourhood $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of zero such that $(\{0\}^k \times \overline{W}) \cap A = \{0\}$, by taking $A \cap (G \times W)$, for some bounded neigbourhood $G \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ of the origin, instead of A, we may assume that $L_0 \cap A = \{0\}$. Note that $L_0 \cap A = \{0\}$ implies $A \cap (U \times \mathbb{C}^n) = A \cap (U \times V)$ for some bounded neighbourhoods of the origin $U \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. It is easy to see that we may choose the same U for any vector complement L of $\mathbb{C}^k \times \{0\}^n$ sufficiently close to L_0 ([4]), i.e. in such a way that $\pi^L(A \cap (U + L)) = U$. Since U may be chosen connected, we put $D := U$.

Accordingly with the notations above, we will identify the projections π^L with their kernels $L = \text{Ker}\pi^L$. Write $L_0 = \text{Ker}\pi$ and $L' = \text{Ker}\pi'$, if necessary.

Let $f\colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a c-holomorphic function which is *non-constant on any irreducible component of* A.

Let $\mathscr{P} \subset G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$ denote the neighbourhood of π for which the multiplicity of the projections onto D is d.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the assumptions made above, there is a projection $\pi' \in \mathscr{P}$ arbitrarily close to π and such that f is injective on the generic fibre of $\pi'|_A$.*

Proof. We will show that in any neighbourhood of L_0 in $G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, there is an L such that

$$(\#) \qquad \forall x \in D \setminus \sigma_L,\ \#f(A \cap (x + L)) = d,$$

for some analytic set $\sigma_L \subsetneq D$. If we denote $\Gamma_L = (\pi^L \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$ and $p(x,t) = x$, then condition $(\#)$ means precisely that $p|_{\Gamma_L}$ has multiplicity d

[2]Indeed, for L close to L_0 we will still have $A \subset \{x+u \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^k, u \in L, |u| \leq \text{const.}(1+|x|)\}$; this follows from the fact that this inclusion is equivalent to the intersection at infinity being void: $A_\infty \cap \overline{L_0} = \varnothing$.

[3]By [Ł] B.6.8, for any $L_0 \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n})$, the sets $\{L \in G_n(\mathbb{C}^{k+n}) \mid L \subset \{u + v \in L_0 + L_0^\perp \mid |v| \leq c|u|\}\}$ for varying $c > 0$ form a basis of neighbourhoods of L_0.

[4]This is a simple consequence of the observation that $L_0 \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to saying that $A \cap (U \times V) \subset \{(x,y) \mid |y| \leq \text{const.}|x|\}$ for some neighbourhoods of zero. In our situation we can take $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, as already noted. The form of neighbourhoods of L_0 (see the previous footnote) account for the rest.

(it could only be less) as a branched covering. From this we infer that in (#) we may take $\exists$ instead of $\forall$.

Let σ_{π^L} denote the critical set of the branched covering $\pi^L|_A$. For a given L near L_0 put

$$Z_L = \{x \in D \mid \#f(A \cap (x + L)) < d\}.$$

Of course, there is always $\sigma_{\pi^L} \subset Z_L$.

If for L_0 we have $Z_{L_0} = D$, then given a point $x_0 \in D \setminus \sigma_{\pi^{L_0}}$ and its (simply) connected neighbourhood $V \subset D \setminus \sigma_{\pi^{L_0}}$ for which $(\pi^{L_0})^{-1}(V) \cap A = \bigcup_1^d \Gamma_j$ is a disjoint union of graphs Γ_j of holomorphic functions γ_j, there are indices $i \neq j$ such that

$$\forall z \in V,\ f(z, \gamma_i(z)) = f(z, \gamma_j(z)).$$

This follows easily from the identity principle. Indeed, write $g_i(z) := f(z, \gamma_i(z))$, $z \in V$. These are holomorphic functions and so $V_{ij} := \{z \in V \mid g_i(z) = g_j(z)\}$ are analytic. By assumptions $\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} V_{ij} = V$ and so at least one of the sets V_{ij} must coincide with V.

Let us suppose hereafter that for all L in a neighbourhood $\mathscr{P}' \subset \mathscr{P}$ of π we have $Z_L = D$.

Of course, V and Γ_j depend *a priori* on L_0. But observe that the convergence $L \to L_0$ implies the convergence (in the Hausdorff measure) $A \cap (x_0 + L) \to A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$ (use for instance [TW2]). Moreover, since L_0 is a common complement to the tangent spaces $T_{(x_0, \gamma_j(x_0))}A$, then we easily see that for all L close enough to L_0, we will be able to find a neighbourhood V of x (necessarily contained in $D \setminus \sigma_{\pi_L}$ since A is a union of d disjoint graphs over it), i.e. V can be chosen independently of L_0 for all L sufficiently close to L_0.

To be more precise, suppose that we have separated the d points of $A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$ by small, disjoint balls B_ι of radius ε. Of course, the tangent spaces $T_w\Gamma_\iota$ for $w \in \Gamma_\iota \cap B_\iota$, $\iota = 1, \ldots, d$, do not vary much, if we take a sufficiently small ε, which means that for some neighbourhood $\mathscr{P}_1 \subset \mathscr{P}'$ of L_0, any $L \in \mathscr{P}_1$ is transversal to each such tangent space. On the other hand, by [TW2] there is a neighbourhood $\mathscr{P}_2 \subset \mathscr{P}_1$ of L_0 such that for any $L \in \mathscr{P}_2$, there are d points in $A \cap (x_0 + L)$, each belonging to a different ball B_ι. From this we easily see that a common choice of V is possible ([5]).

Write $(\pi^L)^{-1}(V) \cap A = \bigcup_1^d \Gamma_j^L$, numbering the sheets according to the points in the fibre of x_0. This numbering can be made consistent thanks to the convergence $A \cap (x_0 + L) \to A \cap (x_0 + L_0)$. Since for each $L \in \mathscr{P}_2$ f glues up two sheets, then by the same type of argument as above, it follows that for some neighbourhood $\mathscr{P}_3 \subset \mathscr{P}_2$, f glues up the same two sheets

[5]I.e. we possibly need to shrink the neighbourhood V found for L_0. A formal proof is based on the description of the topology of the Grassmannians as introduced in [L] and in the previous footnotes and would be rather tedious to write, whereas the geometric idea is very clear.

(i.e. with the same two indices) for all $L \in \mathscr{P}_3$. Let i, j be the two indices in question.

It remains to fix $a = (x_0, \gamma_i(x_0)) \in \Gamma_i$, put $b_L = \pi^L(a)$ and $c_L = \Gamma_j^L \cap (c_L + L)$. The latter point is well defined, provided L is close enough to L_0. We may assume c_L is well defined for all $L \in \mathscr{P}_4 \subset \mathscr{P}_3$ (i.e. $b_L \in V$ and we obtain this using the continuity of the fibres when $L \to L_0$). Now, $f(a) = f(c_L)$ and it is obvious that the points c_L sweep up a whole open subset of Γ_j and thus of A (cf. $\Gamma_j \cap \Gamma_j^L \neq \varnothing$). But Γ_j is contained in an irreducible component $X \subset A$. Therefore, by the identity principle for c-holomorphic functions (see [D2]), $f \equiv f(a)$ on X which is a contradiction. □

Let us state clearly what we will need later on:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an algebraic irreducible set of dimension k and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$. If f is non-constant, then for the generic choice of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_z^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ the projection $\pi(x, y) = x$ restricted to A realizes $\deg A$ and $f|_{\pi^{-1}(x)\cap A}$ is injective for the generic x.*

In the purely local case we also have the following result that gives a kind of complement to the results of [D1]:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f\colon (A, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}_w^k, 0)$ be a non-constant c-holomorphic germ on a pure k-dimensional analytic germ $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Then we can choose coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_z^m = \mathbb{C}_x^k \times \mathbb{C}_y^{m-k}$ in such a way that for the projections $\pi(x, y) = x$, $\eta := (\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}_w^k})$, $p(x, y, w) = w$, $\varrho(x, w) = w$, $\zeta(x, w) = x$ and the set $\Gamma := \eta(\Gamma_f)$, we have*

(i) $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$, *i.e.* $\mu_0(\pi|_A) = \deg_0 A$;
(ii) $\mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f}) = \mu_0(\varrho|_\Gamma)$, *i.e.* $m_0(f) = \mu_0(\varrho|_\Gamma)$ *and so* $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$;
(iii) $\mu_0(\zeta|_\Gamma) = \deg_0 A$,

and this holds true for the generic choice of coordinates.

Here $\mu_0(\pi|_A)$ denotes the *covering number* of the branched covering $\pi|_A$ with 0 as the unique point in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(0)$ (see [Ch]) while $m_0(f) := \mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f})$ is the geometric multiplicity of f at zero.

So as to prove this proposition we begin with a most easy lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *If $E \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is such that $\#E = \mu > 0$ and $k \leq m$, then for the generic epimorphism $L \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^k)$ one has $\#L(E) = \mu$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertion for $k = m-1$. The set $\{\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m) \mid \exists x, y \in E\colon x \neq y, x \in \ell + y\}$ is finite. Thus for the generic $\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m)$ the set $\bigcup_{x\in E} x + \ell$ consists of μ distinct lines. The orthogonal projection π^ℓ along ℓ is hence the sought after epimorphism. □

Proof of proposition 2.3. We know that for the generic projection π we have $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap C_0(A) = \{0\}$ (cf. [Ch]). Let us take such a projection which in addition 'separates' the points in the maximal fibre of f, i.e. for w such that $f^{-1}(w)$ consists of $m_0(f)$ points, $\pi(f^{-1}(w))$ consists also of $m_0(f)$ points (cf. the previous lemma). That means $\pi|_{f^{-1}(w)}$ is an injection.

Observe that $p = \varrho \circ \eta$ and $p|_{\Gamma_f}$, $\eta|_{\Gamma_f}$, $\varrho|_\Gamma$ are proper. Moreover $m_0(f) = \mu_0(p|_{\Gamma_f})$. Now it remains to observe that

$$\varrho^{-1}(w) \cap \Gamma = \pi(f^{-1}(w)) \times \{w\}$$

and so by the choice of π we have $\mu_0(\varrho|_\Gamma) = m_0(f)$. Thence $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$, which means that $\eta\colon \Gamma_f \to \Gamma$ is one-to-one. Indeed, if $(x_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ is fixed,

$$\begin{aligned}\#\eta^{-1}(x_0, w_0) \cap \Gamma_f &= \#\{y \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \mid (x_0, y) \in A,\ f(x_0, y) = w_0\} = \\ &= \#\{z \in f^{-1}(w_0) \mid \pi(z) = x_0\} = \\ &= \#f^{-1}(w_0) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0).\end{aligned}$$

The latter is equal to one iff $f|_{\pi^{-1}(x_0)\cap A}$ is injective which is equivalent to $\pi|_{f^{-1}(w_0)}$ being an injection. That we know to be true. Thus, in particular, for any $x, w \in \mathbb{C}^k$ there exists exactly one $y \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ such that $f(x, y) = w$.

Therefore $\mu_0(\zeta|_\Gamma) = \deg_0 A =: d$. Indeed, if we take $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ near zero such that $\#\pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap A = d$, then obviously $\#f(\pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap A) \leq d$. On the other hand if there were $y \neq y'$ such that $f(x_0, y) = f(x_0, y') =: w_0$, then the set $\eta^{-1}(x_0, w_0) \cap \Gamma_f$ would include the two points $(x_0, y, w_0) \neq (x_0, y', w_0)$. If this held true for x_0 arbitrarily close to zero, then this would contradict $\mu_0(\eta|_{\Gamma_f}) = 1$. □

Note. It may be useful, in reference to [D1], to observe that in the situation from the proposition above it is easy to check that the *Łojasiewicz exponent* $\mathcal{L}(f; 0) = 1/q_0(\Gamma, \varrho)$ (with the notations from theorem (2.6) in [D1]).

3. C-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs

Let $|\cdot|$ denote any of the usual norms on $\mathbb{C}^m$ (we shall not distinguish in notation the norms for different m as long as there is no real need for such a distinction). We begin with the following Liouville-type lemma concerning c-holomorphic mappings whose graphs are algebraic sets (it is a consequence of the Rudin-Sadullaev criterion):

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a purely k-dimensional analytic set and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Then Γ_f is algebraic if and only if A is algebraic and there are constants $M, s > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^s), \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

Proof. For the 'only if' part remark that by Chevalley's theorem A is algebraic as the proper projection of the graph. Besides, by [L] VII.7.2 we get immediately

$$\Gamma_f \subset \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid |w| \leq M(1 + |z|^s)\}$$

for some $M, s > 0$.

For simplicity sake we assume now that the considered norms are the ℓ_1 norms i.e. sum of moduli of the coordinates.

To prove the 'if' part we apply [Ł] VII.7.4 to A:

$$A \subset \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \mid |v| \leq M'(1+|u|)\}$$

(in well-chosen coordinates) for some $M' > 0$. Take now $(u,v,w) \in \Gamma_f$, we have then $|f(u,v)| \leq M(1+|(u,v)|^s) = M[1+(|u|+|v|)^s]$ and by an easy computation:

$$|f(u,v)| + |v| \leq M[1+(|u|+|v|)^s] + M'(1+|u|) \leq$$
$$\leq 3C(1+|u|)^{s'},$$

for $s' := \max\{1,s\}$ and $C := \max\{M, M(M'+1)^s, M'\}$. Now Rudin-Sadullaev criterion yields Γ_f algebraic. □

Remark 3.2. The condition 'A is algebraic' in the equivalence is not redundant since any polynomial restricted to e.g. $A = \{y = e^x\}$ satisfies the inequality but has a non algebraic graph (otherwise A would be algebraic too, by the Chevalley-Remmert Theorem).

Note also that $|f(x)| \leq M(1+|x|^s)$ on A iff $|f(x)| \leq M(1+|x|)^s$ on A.

Hereafter we are interested in particular in c-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs. For simplicity sake we will denote their ring by $\mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$ when $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a fixed algebraic set. As a matter of fact, we will assume most of the time that $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a pure k-dimensional algebraic set of degree $d := \deg A$ (meaning the degree of the projective completion of A). Obviously, we shall assume also $k \geq 1$ unless something else is stated.

Observe that $P \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$, if $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$ and P is a polynomial.

In connection with Strzeboński's paper [S], for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$ with any algebraic set A, we introduce its *growth exponent*

$$\mathcal{B}(f) := \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid |f(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^s, \text{ on } A \text{ with some constant } C > 0\}$$

and the set of all possible growth exponents on A:

$$\mathcal{B}_A := \{\mathcal{B}(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A) \colon \Gamma_f \text{ is algebraic}\}.$$

Observe that there is in fact

$$\mathcal{B}(f) = \inf\{s \geq 0 \mid |f(x)| \leq \text{const.}|x|^s, \ x \in A \colon |x| \geq M \text{ with some } M \geq 1\}.$$

The growth exponent replaces in the c-holomorphic setting the notion of the *degree* of a polynomial (if $A = \mathbb{C}^m$, then obviously $\mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ and so $\mathcal{B} = \deg$ is the usual degree). Note by the way that for a mapping $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ with algebraic graph, defined on $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $\mathcal{B}(f) := \max \mathcal{B}(f_j)$ is once again the minimal exponent s for which $|f(x)| \leq \text{const.}|x|^s$ for all $x \in A$ large enough.

Recall the following important lemma from [S]:

Lemma 3.3 ([S] lemma 2.3)**.** *Let $P(x,t) = t^d + a_1(x)t^{d-1} + \ldots + a_d(x)$ be a polynomial with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$. Then $\delta(P) := \max_{j=1}^d (\deg a_j / j)$ is the minimal exponent $s > 0$ for which the inclusion*

$$P^{-1}(0) \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |t| \leq C(1+|x|)^s\}$$

holds with some $C > 0$.

Note that in view of our preceding observation it is merely an avatar of the following Płoski's crucial lemma:

Lemma 3.4 ([P] lemma (2.1))**.** *If* $P(x,t)$ *is as above, then* $\delta(P)$ *is the minimal exponent* $q > 0$ *such that*

$$\{(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid P(x,t) = 0, |x| \geq R\} \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |t| \leq C|x|^q\}$$

for some $R, C > 0$.

From Lemma 3.3 we easily obtain the c-holomorphic counterpart of Strzeboński's result from [S] by taking the image Γ of the graph Γ_f by the projection $\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^k$ is a projection realizing $\deg A$. Then one can apply Lemma 3.3 to Γ: it remains to observe that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \delta(P)$, where P is the minimal polynomial describing the hypersurface Γ. We obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.5. *For any algebraic set* A *and any* $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$*, the number* $\mathcal{B}(f)$ *is rational and is a growth exponent of* f*. Moreover, one has*

$$\mathbb{Z}_+ \subset \mathcal{B}_A \subset \{p/q \mid p, q \in \mathbb{N}\colon 1 \leq q \leq d,\ p, q \text{ relatively prime}\},$$

where d *is the maximum of degrees of all the irreducible components of* A*.*

P. Tworzewski conjectures that in this case the second inclusion is in fact an equality (when A is irreducible).

In the second part of this paper we shall need some more information about $\mathcal{B}(f)$. It is easy to see from the definition that for any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$ there is $\mathcal{B}(h_1 h_2) \leq \mathcal{B}(h_1) + \mathcal{B}(h_2)$ and $\mathcal{B}(h_1 + h_2) \leq \max\{\mathcal{B}(h_1), \mathcal{B}(h_2)\}$. But what will turn out to be most important is that for any positive integer n there is $\mathcal{B}(f^n) = n\mathcal{B}(f)$.

Using the results of [TW1] we are able to give an estimate of $\mathcal{B}(f)$. Indeed, by applying [TW1] theorem 3 we get in lemma 3.3 above the estimate $\delta(P) \leq \deg P^{-1}(0) - d + 1$. It remains to specify what actually $\deg P^{-1}(0)$ and d are.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(A)$ *with* $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ *of dimension* $k \geq 0$*. Then*

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \deg \Gamma_f - \deg A + 1.$$

Proof. If f is constant or $k = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}(f) = 0$ and the estimate holds. We may assume thus f non-constant and $k > 0$.

Suppose first that A is irreducible.

Let $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^k$ be a projection realizing the degree $\deg A$ and let $\Gamma := (\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$. The latter is clearly an algebraic set due to Remmert-Chevalley Theorem. A straightforward application of the main result of [TW1] gives now $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \deg \Gamma - d + 1$, where d is the covering number of the branched covering $\zeta\colon \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^k$ on Γ.

It is easy to see that $\deg\Gamma \leq \deg\Gamma_f$. Indeed, if $\ell \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ is an affine complex line such that $\deg\Gamma = \#(\ell \cap \Gamma)$, then the set $L := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid (\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(z) \in \ell\}$ is an affine space of dimension $m+1-k$ intersecting Γ_f in a zero-dimensional set. This follows from the properness of $\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on Γ_f. Therefore, we have $\#(L \cap \Gamma_f) \leq \deg\Gamma_f$ (cf. [Ł] VII.11). Clearly $\#(\ell \cap \Gamma) \leq \#(L \cap \Gamma_f)$.

The point is how to choose π so as to have $d = \deg A$. We are able to do this thanks to Corollary 2.2 asserting that for the generic π we have $\#f(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap A) = \deg A$ for the generic $x \in \mathbb{C}^k$.

Now, if A is reducible, then we apply the preceding argument to each irreducible component $S \subset A$ and $f|_S$ getting

$$\mathcal{B}(f|_S) \leq \deg\Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S + 1.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \max\{\mathcal{B}(f|_S) \mid S \subset A \text{ an irreducible component}\}$ (cf. [S]) and $\deg\Gamma_f = \sum_S \deg\Gamma_{f|_S}$, since $\Gamma_{f|_S}$ is irreducible iff $S \subset A$ is irreducible (thus $\Gamma_{f|_S}$ are the irreducible components of the graph). Therefore

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \leq \max_{S \subset A}(\deg\Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S) + 1,$$

but since for each irreducible component S' there is

$$\deg\Gamma_{f|_{S'}} - \deg S' \leq \sum_{S \subset A}(\deg\Gamma_{f|_S} - \deg S),$$

we finally obtain the required inequality. □

The following example shows that the estimate is far from being the best one. Nevertheless, it is of some interest in view of the proposition following the example.

Example 3.7. Let A be the algebraic curve $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x^3 = y^2\}$ and consider the c-holomorphic function

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{y}{x}, & \text{for } (x,y) \in A \setminus (0,0) \\ 0, & \text{for } x = y = 0 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see (cf. Lemma 3.1) that Γ_f is algebraic and $\mathcal{B}(f) = 1/3$. Actually the algebricity of Γ_f is not really surprising because f is the restriction to $\mathrm{Reg}A$ of a rational function. We will show (next section) that in fact the algebricity of the graph is equivalent in this case to the fact that the function has a rational 'extension'.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{a}}_c(A)$ with A pure k-dimensional. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq 1$;
(2) $\deg A = \deg\Gamma_f$;
(3) $\Gamma_f^* \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$;
(4) $\{P = 0\}^* \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$,

where P is the minimal polynomial describing $(\pi \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\Gamma_f)$ with $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^k$ a projection realizing $\deg A$.

Proof. The implication from (2) to (1) follows from the preceding theorem. To prove the converse, suppose that coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^m = \mathbb{C}^k_x \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}_y$ are chosen in such a way that the projection onto the first k coordinates realizes $\deg A$. Then $A \subset \{|y| \leq M(1+|x|)\}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}(f) \leq 1$, there is $|f(x,y)| \leq C(1+|x|+|y|)$ for $(x,y) \in A$. Combining the two facts, we obtain

$$\Gamma \subset \{(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |y|+|t| \leq [M + C(1+M)](1+|x|)\}$$

which implies that the projection $(x,y,t) \mapsto x$ realizes $\deg \Gamma_f$. Clearly, by the univalence of the graph, the multiplicity of this projection is equal to $\deg A$.

Now, we turn to proving the equivalence of the remaining conditions. Choose affine coordinates so as to have $\mathbb{C}^m_z = \mathbb{C}^k_x \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}_y$ and $\pi(z) = x$. Write $P(x,t) = t^d + a_1(x)t^{d-1} + \ldots + a_d(x)$ and observe that $\deg P = \max\{d, \deg a_1 + d - 1, \ldots, \deg a_d\}$. Therefore, in view of the fact that $\mathcal{B}(f) = \delta(P)$, (1) is equivalent to $\deg P = d$. This in turn is equivalent to (4), since $\{P=0\}^* = \{P^+ = 0\}$.

Now, clearly $\Gamma^*_f \supset \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ implies $\{P=0\}^* \supset \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ and thus (4) implies (3). On the other hand, by the choice of π, $A \subset \{|y| \leq c(1+|x|)\}$ and so for all $(x,y) \in A$ large enough, $|y| \leq c'|x|$. Take a point $(0,t) \in \{P=0\}^*$. There are sequences $\{P=0\} \ni (x_\nu, t_\nu) \to \infty$ and $\lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_\nu(x_\nu, t_\nu) \to (0,t)$. Of course, there is a sequence $y_\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ such that $(x_\nu, y_\nu) \in A$ and $t_\nu = f(x_\nu, y_\nu)$. For all ν large enough, $|\lambda_\nu y_\nu| \leq c'|\lambda_\nu x_\nu| \to 0$, whence $(0,t) \in \Gamma^*_f$. Therefore, (3) implies (4). □

4. Algebraic Graph Theorem

Using Oka's theorem about universal denominators (cf. [TsY] and [Wh]) one can show that any c-holomorphic function admits locally a universal denominator. We will detail this a little more in the proof of the following theorem. For the convienience of the reader let us start with one useful construction of a universal denominator.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A \subset U \times \mathbb{C}_t \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}_y$ be a pure k-dimensional analytic set, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^k_x$ is open and connected, such that $0 \in A$ and the natural projection $\pi(x,t,y) = x$ is proper on A and realizing $\deg_0 A =: d$. Then after a change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$ there exists a monic polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}(U)[t]$ of degree d such that $Q(x,t,y) := \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(x,t)$ is a universal denominator at each point $a \in A$.*

Proof. Let $\rho(x,t,y) = (x,t)$ and $\xi(x,t) = x$ be the natural projections. For any point $x \in U$ not critical for $\pi|_A$ we have exactly d distinct points $(t_1, y^1), \ldots, (t_d, y^d)$ over it in A. If we fix x, then taking if necessary a rotation in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}$, we may assume that all the points $t_1, \ldots, t_d$ are distinct. Thus ξ on $\rho(A)$ has multiplicity d as a branched covering. Note that by the Remmert theorem $\rho(A) \subset U \times \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic hypersurface.

Thus there exist a reduced Weierstrass polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}[t]$ such that $P^{-1}(0) = \rho(A)$. Its degree is obviously d.

Now for fixed x in a simply connected neighbourhood V not intersecting the critical set of P we have $(t_1(x), y^1(x)), \ldots, (t_d(x), y^d(x))$, exactly d distinct points. Put

$$h(x,t) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} f(x, t_j(x), y^j(x)) \prod_{\iota \neq j} (t - t_\iota(x)), \quad (x,t) \in V \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Observe that $h(x, t_j(x)) = f(x, t_j(x), y^j(x))Q(x, t_j(x))$. Clearly the function $h(x,t)$ is locally holomorphic apart from the critical set of f (because the functions $t_j(x)$ and $y^j(x)$ are locally holomorphic) and locally bounded near the critical points of P, and so by the Riemann theorem we obtain a holomorphic function $h \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k})$ being an extension of Qf. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a purely k-dimensional algebraic set and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A)$. Then Γ_f is algebraic if and only if there exists a rational function $R \in \mathbb{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ equal to f on A (in particular $R|_A$ is continuous). More precisely, there exists a polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ with $\deg Q < \deg A$ such that $f = P/Q$ on A for some polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$.*

Proof. If $m = 1$, then either A is the whole $\mathbb{C}$, and then by the identity principle Γ_f is algebraic if and only if f is a polynomial (cf. Serre's theorem on the algebraic graph), or A is a finite set and we apply a Lagrange interpolation. In both cases $Q \equiv 1$. Hence we may confine us to the case $m \geq 2$.

If $k = 0$, then $\#A < \infty$. We follow lemma 2.4. The set

$$\{\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m) \mid \exists x, y \in A,\ x \neq y \colon y \in x + \ell\}$$

is finite (even algebraic). Take thus a line $\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that for all $x \in A$, $(x + \ell) \cap A = \{x\}$. If we denote by π^ℓ the natural projection along ℓ onto its orthogonal complement $\ell^\perp$, then $\#\pi^\ell(A) = \#A$. Continuing this procedure we find a one-dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\#\pi(A) = \#A$, where π is the orthogonal projection onto L. Now the Lagrange interpolation for $\pi(A)$ and the values $f(a), \pi(a) \in \pi(A)$ yields a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then $\widetilde{P}(x) := P(\pi(x))$ is the polynomial interpolating f on A. The 'only if' part is clear.

Assume now that $k \geq 1$. Since A is algebraic of pure dimension k, there are coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that the projection π onto the first k coordinates is proper on A (so it is a branched covering) and it realizes $\deg A$. Now if we take $\rho(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1})$, then we are able to apply proposition 4.1 getting a polynomial (since by Chevalley's theorem $\rho(A)$ is an algebraic hypersurface) Q being a local universal denominator for A. Since $\mathbb{C}^m$ is a domain of holomorphy, Q is in fact a global universal denominator for A (actually this follows directly from the proof of 4.1).

That means that there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ such that

$$f = \frac{h}{Q} \quad \text{on } \mathrm{Reg}A.$$

Note that for points $a \in \mathrm{Sng}A$, if we take any sequence $\mathrm{Reg}A \ni a_\nu \to a$, then by continuity we obtain $f(a)Q(a) = h(a)$. Therefore either a is a point in which h/Q is well defined, or it is a point of indeterminacy of h/Q. In the latter case, the function h/Q has a finite and well defined limit along A, namely $f(a)$. Thus h/Q is continous on A.

As a matter of fact h is not uniquely determined. The proof of our theorem consists now in showing

(a) If h is a polynomial, then Γ_f is algebraic;
(b) If Γ_f is algebraic, then we may choose $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$.

Ad (a): Let $X := (A \times \mathbb{C}) \cap \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C} \mid h(x) = Q(x)t\}$. It is an algebraic set of dimension at least k. Over points $x \in A \setminus Q^{-1}(0)$ this is exactly the graph of f. Thus for each such point x and the only one t for which $(x,t) \in X$, we have $\dim_{(x,t)}X = k$. On the other hand, since Q does not vanish on any irreducible component of A, the set $A \cap Q^{-1}(0)$ has pure dimension $k-1$ (see [D2]). For each point $x \in A \cap Q^{-1}(0)$ we have a whole line $\{x\} \times \mathbb{C} \subset X$. Thus the set X has pure dimension k.

Set $\Gamma := \Gamma_f \setminus (Q^{-1}(0) \times \mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_f \setminus [(A \cap Q^{-1}(0)) \times \mathbb{C}]$. Then we have $\Gamma \subset X$ and so for closures $\overline{\Gamma} \subset \overline{X} = X$. But by continuity $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma_f$, and since Γ_f has pure dimension k it must be the union of some irreducible components of X. Since X is algebraic, so is Γ_f.

Ad (b): This follows from Serre's algebraic graph theorem (for regular functions, see [L]). Indeed, fQ is a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C}^m$ with algebraic graph over the algebraic set A (to see this apply lemma 3.1; one can remark by the way that $\mathcal{B}(fQ) \leq \mathcal{B}(f) + \mathcal{B}(Q|_A)$). Thus it is on A a regular function which means that it is in fact the restriction to A of a polynomial P. $\square$

Remark 4.3. It is easy to check that in the theorem above we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(f) \geq \mathcal{B}(P|_A) - \mathcal{B}(Q|_A).$$

5. Proper c-holomorphic mappings with algebraic graphs

C-holomorphic functions with algebraic graphs are a promising generalization of polynomials onto algebraic sets. Most of the theorems known for instance for polynomial dominating mappings should have their analogues at least for c-holomorphic proper mappings with algebraic graphs. Note, however, that in this setting we are naturally obliged to make do more with the geometric structure than the algebraic one (that is a hindrance when trying to extend the results of [D2] to the c-holomorphic algebraic case).

We consider now the following situation:
Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be algebraic of pure dimension $k > 0$ and suppose $f \in \mathcal{O}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$ is a proper mapping with algebraic graph. It is clear then that for each component f_j of f has an algebraic graph.

Since Γ_f is algebraic with proper projection onto $\mathbb{C}^k$, then $\#f^{-1}(w)$ is constant for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}^k$. We call this number, denoted by $\mathrm{d}(f)$, the *geometric degree* of f just as in the polynomial case. We call *critical* for f any point $w \in \mathbb{C}^k$ for which $\#f^{-1}(w) \neq \mathrm{d}(f)$. In that case one has actually $\#f^{-1}(w) < \mathrm{d}(f)$ (cf. e.g. [Ch], the projection onto $\mathbb{C}^k$ restricted to Γ_f is a $\mathrm{d}(f)$-sheeted branched covering). Obviously $\mathrm{d}(f) \leq \deg\Gamma_f$ (cf. [Ł]).

Of course, we could define this degree in a more general setting. To see this consider the set $W = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid \dim \pi^{-1}(x_0) \cap V = 0\}$, where $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^k$ is a pure k-dimensional algebraic set and π the projection onto $\mathbb{C}^k$. Clearly, W is open and $Z := \mathbb{C}^k \setminus W$ is algebraic (as it is algebraically constructible and closed). Moreover, $\mathrm{int}Z = \varnothing$, since otherwise we would have $\dim Z = k$ (the dimension being computed along Łojasiewicz) whence $\dim A \cap (Z \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k}) > k$ which is contrary to $\dim A = k$. Now we may conlude that $D := \mathbb{C}^k \setminus Z$ is connected and since π is proper on $A \cap (D \times \mathbb{C}^{m-k})$, we have a well-defined multiplicity, denote it by $\mathrm{d}(\pi|_A)$, of this projection. We call this number the *generic multiplicity*.

Now, if $f\colon \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{a}}_c(A, \mathbb{C}^k)$ is non-constant on at least one irreducible component of the pure k-dimensional algebraic set $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ([6]), then it follows easily from [D2] that there exists a point w_0 for which $\dim f^{-1}(w_0) = 0$. If π denotes the projection onto the target space, then we call $\mathrm{d}(f) := \mathrm{d}(\pi|_{\Gamma_f})$ the *generic multiplicity* of f.

Of course, we can argue differently: in this case π is a regular dominating mapping on $\Gamma_f \to \mathbb{C}^k$ in this case and so it has a generic multiplicity.

Anyway, we stick to the case of proper mappings. Similarly to the polynomial case, we have the following Bézout-type theorem:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f\colon A \to \mathbb{C}^k$ be a c-holomorphic proper mapping with algebraic graph. Then*

$$\mathrm{d}(f) \leq \deg A \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathcal{B}(f_j).$$

Proof. Let q_j be any positive integers such that $q_j\mathcal{B}(f_j) \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Then set $F := (f_1^{q_1}, \ldots, f_k^{q_k})$. We still have $F \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{a}}_c(A)$ and F is proper with $\mathrm{d}(F) = \mathrm{d}(f) \prod_j q_j$. Besides, $\mathcal{B}(F_j) = q_j\mathcal{B}(f_j)$.

The idea now is to follow the idea used in the proof of proposition (4.6) from [D1] inspired by the methods of Płoski and Tworzewski. To that aim consider the algebraic set

$$\Gamma := \{(z, w) \in A \times \mathbb{C}^k \mid w_j^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} = F_j(z),\ j = 1, \ldots, k\}.$$

Clearly, for any $a \in \Gamma$, there is $\dim_a \Gamma \geq k$ and since Γ has proper projection $p(z, w) = z$ onto A, the converse inequality holds too and so Γ is pure k-dimensional.

[6] It is not equivalent to saying that f is non-constant on A, think of f defined as 0 on $\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$ and 1 on $\mathbb{C} \times \{1\}$.

Take now any affine subspace $\ell \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ of dimension k such that $\#(\ell \cap A) = \deg A$ and

$$A \subset \{x + y \in \ell^\perp + \ell \mid |y| \leq C(1 + |x|)\},$$

where $\ell^\perp$ is an orthogonal complementary to ℓ, $x + y = z$ and $C > 0$ a constant. Then by construction $L := \ell + \mathbb{C}^k$ (seen in $\mathbb{C}^{m+k}$) is transversal to Γ and we have $\#(L \cap \Gamma) = \deg A \prod_j \mathcal{B}(F_j)$. We may assume that the norm in consideration is the sum of moduli. Now observe that for $(z, w) \in \Gamma$,

$$|w_j|^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} = |F_j(z)| \leq c_j |z|^{\mathcal{B}(F_j)} \text{ when } |z| \geq R_j,$$

for some $c_j, R_j > 0$. Then $|w| \leq (\max_j c_j)|z|$ when $|z| \geq \max_j R_j$. Therefore, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma \subset \{(x, y, w) \in \ell^\perp + \ell + \mathbb{C}^k \mid |y| + |w| \leq K(1 + |x|)\}$$

and so $\deg\Gamma = \deg A \prod_j \mathcal{B}(F_j)$.

Finally, it suffices to remark that one has $\mathrm{d}(F) \leq \deg\Gamma$ since there is $\mathrm{d}(F) = \#((\mathbb{C}^m \times \{w\}^k) \cap \Gamma)$ for a well chosen w. □

Example 5.2. Let A and f be as in Example 3.7. Since f is injective, $\mathrm{d}(f) = 1$. Clearly $\deg A = 3$ and $\mathcal{B}(f) = 1/3$. Thus $\mathrm{d}(f) = \deg A \cdot \mathcal{B}(f)$.

This example hints at a more general observation:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an algebraic curve normalized by the polynomial mapping $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\deg\Gamma = \max_j \deg\gamma_j$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(\Gamma)$ be non-constant. Then*

$$\mathrm{d}(f) = \deg\Gamma \cdot \mathcal{B}(f).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}(f)$ is the greatest exponent (the Łojasiewicz exponent) $q > 0$ for which $|f(x)| \geq \mathrm{const} \cdot |x|^q$ when $x \in \Gamma$ is big enough.

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to that of theorem (3.2) from [D1]. We may assume that $|\cdot|$ is the maximum norm. Let $d := \deg\Gamma$ and observe that since

$$\lim_{|t| \to +\infty} \frac{|\gamma(t)|}{|t|^d} = \mathrm{const.} > 0,$$

the inequalities $c_1 |x|^q \leq |f(x)| \leq c_2 \cdot |x|^{\mathcal{B}(f)}$ for $x \in \Gamma$ with $|x| \gg 1$ are equivalent to

$$c_1' |t|^{dq} \leq |f(\gamma(t))| \leq c_2' \cdot |t|^{d\mathcal{B}(f)}, \quad |t| \gg 1$$

with some other constants $c_1', c_2' > 0$. Observe now that $f \circ \gamma$ is a polynomial by Serre's Graph Theorem and so there are two positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$(\circ) \qquad c_1'' |t|^{\deg(f \circ \gamma)} \leq |f(\gamma(t))| \leq c_2'' \cdot |t|^{\deg(f \circ \gamma)}, \quad |t| \gg 1.$$

But $\deg(f \circ \gamma) = \mathrm{d}(f)$ because γ being generically injective, we obviously have $\#(f \circ \gamma)^{-1}(w) = \#f^{-1}(w)$ for the generic $w \in \mathbb{C}$. We have now on the one hand

$$\lim_{|t| \to +\infty} |t|^{d\mathcal{B} - \mathrm{d}(f)} \geq c_1''/c_2' \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|t| \to +\infty} |t|^{\mathrm{d}(f) - d\mathcal{B}(f)} \leq c_2'/c_1'',$$

while on the other $\lim_{|t|\to+\infty}|t|^{d(\mathcal{B}(f)-q)} \geq c_1'/c_2'$. Therefore $\mathrm{d}(f) = d\mathcal{B}(f)$ and $q \leq \mathcal{B}(f)$. Moreover, the first inequality from $(\circ)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{const}\cdot|x|^{\mathrm{d}(f)/d} \leq |f(x)|$ for $x \in \Gamma$ large enough. That ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 5.4. The statement of the Proposition above remains true for $f \in \mathcal{O}_c^{\mathrm{a}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $n > 1$ as can be easily seen from the proof. In that case $\mathrm{d}(f)$ is defined in a slightly different way. Namely,

$$\mathrm{d}(f) := \max_{j=1}^{n} \deg(f_j \circ \gamma),$$

i.e. $\mathrm{d}(f) := \deg(f \circ \gamma)$ ($f \circ \gamma$ is a polynomial mapping).

6. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Piotr Tworzewski for introducing him into the subject of c-holomorphic functions.

During the preparation of this note, the author was partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant IP2011 009571.

References

[Ch] E. M. Chirka, *Complex Analytic Sets*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1989;

[D1] M. P. Denkowski, *The Łojasiewicz exponent of c-holomorphic mappings*, Ann. Polon. Math. LXXXVII.1 (2005), pp. 63-81;

[D2] M. P. Denkowski, *A note on the Nullstellensatz for c-holomorphic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. XC.3 (2007), pp. 219-228;

[DP] M. P. Denkowski, R. Pierzchała, *On the Kuratowski convergence of analytic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. 93.2 (2008), pp. 101-112;

[FHMM] G. Fichou, J. Huisman, F. Mangolte, J.-P. Monnier, *Fonctions régulues*, arXiv 1112.3800 [math.AG] (2011);

[JJ] P. Jakóbczak, M. Jarnicki, *Lectures on Holomorphic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, pdf file available at `www.im.uj.edu.pl/MarekJarnicki`;

[Kol] J. Kollár, *Continuous rational functions on real and p-adic varieties*, arXiv 1101.3737 [math.AG] (2011);

[K] W. Kucharz, *Rational maps in real algebraic geometry*, Adv. Geom. 9 (4) (2009), 517539;

[Ł] S. Łojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1991;

[P] A. Płoski, *On the growth of proper polynomial mappings*, Ann. Polon. Math. XLV.3 (1985), pp. 297-309;

[S] A. Strzeboński, *The growth of regular functions on algebraic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. LV (1991), pp. 331-341;

[TW1] P. Tworzewski, T. Winiarski, *Analytic sets with proper projections*, Journ. Reine Angew. Math. 337 (1982), pp. 68-76;

[TW2] P. Tworzewski, T. Winiarski, *Continuity of intersection of analytic sets*, Ann. Polon. Math. 42 (1983), 387-393;

[TsY] A. K. Tsikh, A. Yger, *Residue Currents*, Journ. Math. Sci. vol. 120 no 6 (2004), pp. 1916-1971;

[Wh] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Varieties*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co. 1972.

Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Institute of Mathematics, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: `maciej.denkowski@uj.edu.pl`